

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,339	07/05/2001	Bo Lindell	040071-673	2625
7590 03/24/2005			EXAMINER	
Ronald L. Gru		HONG, HARRY S		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TALERIONEER
			2642	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

 						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/899,339	LINDELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Harry S. Hong	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[又]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	Julv 2001.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the application	n.	•			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are rejected.					
·						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
-	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
-/.	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bure	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(c)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intention Sum	nary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-5-01, 11-15-01</u> .	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/899,339 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed October 23, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

There was no attached PTO-1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 31-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to describe the

Application/Control Number: 09/899,339 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

limitations relating to "sweeping a control signal of the tunable band-pass filter between a minimum tuning value and a maximum tuning value". It is not clear from the specification as to how such a step is carried out.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fraser (US 4,528,698; cited and applied for the first time).

Regarding claims 1-18, refer to Figs. 1 and 2 of Fraser. The claimed tunable band-pass filter reads on either FILTER 12 or FILTER 15; the claimed noise source reads on the LOCAL OSCILLATOR 14; the claimed signal detector reads on the LEVEL DETECTOR 17; and the claimed tuning controller reads on the BIAS CONTROL 18. Fraser plainly teaches the above components coupled in the same manner as the claimed invention. Fraser goes on to teach that the BIAS CONTROL 18 adjusts the tuning control signal in response to the at least one measured signal power for tuning the FILTERs 12 and 15.

Regarding claims 19-30, refer also to Figs. 1 and 2 of Fraser. The claimed tunable band-pass filter reads on either FILTER 12 or FILTER 15; Fraser discloses by name the claimed local oscillator via the LOCAL OSCILLATOR 14; the claimed switch reads on the LEVEL DETECTOR 17 since it functions in the same manner as the

Art Unit: 2642

claimed switch; and the claimed signal processor reads on the BIAS CONTROL 18.

Fraser plainly teaches the above components coupled in the same manner as the claimed invention. Fraser goes on to teach that a control signal is supplied to the FILTERs 12 and 15 for tuning the filters to a desired filter response.

As best understood, the method of claims 31-56 is taught by Fraser since it parallels the systems of claims 1-30.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lindquist et al. (US 2002/0151287 A1; cited and applied for the first time)

The applied reference has a common assignee and inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 09/899,339

Art Unit: 2642

Lindquist et al. plainly and completely disclose the claimed invention of the present application.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sempel et al. and the patents to Holden et al. all teach variations of tuning band-pass filters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry S. Hong whose telephone number is (703) 306-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad F. Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Harry S. Hong

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2642

March 17, 2005